

Nilay Kumar Maitra

Department of Botany, Govt. General Degree College, Keshiary, At Tilaboni-Mahisamura, Paschim Midnapur-721 135

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT		
Received: 08.04.2019 Revised: 28.04.2019 Accepted: 01.05.2019	Leaves are the indissoluble and constant part of a plant body. The gross form of angiosperm leaves include features like size, shape, nature of margin, form of the apex, base and petiole, positioning of glands and nature of venation pattern. They have the potential of diagnostic characters within their venation. The objective of this paper was to describe and compare the foliar morphology, venation pattern and foliar anatomy of <i>Anaphalis margaritacea</i> (L.) Benth. & Hook. f. and <i>Anaphalis triplinervis</i> Sims. ex C. B. Clarke. to distinctly identify the plants. The major venation pattern from fresh as well as dried leaves along with areoles, minor veins, marginal venation, veinlet endings, the 'very tips' and other associated characters were analyzed for the two		
Keywords:	longitudinal direction of the lamina, free vein endings consisting of one spirally thickened tracheid and some free vein endings associated with sclerotracheoids atre the distinctive characters of <i>A. margaritacea</i> .		
Acrodromous,			
Anaphalis,	Whereas, in A. triplinervis, the number of primary veins are five with		
Asteraceae,	dense areolation, arranged randomly. The free vein endings consist of four to five spirally thickened tracheids and covered with distinct		
Foliar architecture,	sclerenchmatous sheath cells throughout. Other characters of the leaf of		
Venetation pattern.	two species in addition to the above stated features can be elaborated asan identification key.		

# Introduction

Generally the floral characters of the plants are used for the identification of angiosperms. In 1974 Radford et. al. have pointed out that due to lack of detailed classification features of leaf, they are neglected organs in taxonomic and comparative morphological studies. The arrangement of veins in the lamina is an important component of the study of leaf architecture. Though the leaves are present in plants for much greater part of its life span than the flowers and fruits, still its use in identification of plants is very limited. After the publication of venation pattern terminologies by Ettingshaesun (1861) and systemization of these terminologies by Hickey (1973) attempts have been made to study the leaf architecture of some dicotyledonous families (Sehgal & Paliwal, 1974; Jain, 1978). In 1976, Melville gave the venation pattern terminologies for both monocots and dicots. In the recent past attempts have been made to study the leaf architecture of different species belonging to different genera under family Asteraceae by Banerjee & Deshpande (1973), Banerjee (1978) Ravindranath &Inamdar (1982), Akinubi et al. (2014) and others. A similar kind of work was done by Maitra and Mukherjeein 2017 on 4 species of *Spilanthes*.

So farno work has been done on leaf architectural pattern of the members of *Anaphalis* sp. In this paper the foliar anatomical characters of. *Anaphalis margaritacea*(L.) Benth. & Hook. *f*. and *Anaphalis triplinervis* Sims ex C. B. Clarke. are studied and considered as an additional tool for identification of this genus

#### **Materials and Methods**

Materials were collected from Darjeeling districts of West Bengal and East Sikkim in sets of 4-5 in numbers and identified with the local floras. These specimens were matched with the collections of the Department of Botany, University of Kalyani, Nadia. Author citations were matched following literature of Brummitt and Powell (1992) and website of the International Plant Names Index (www.ipni.org) and The Plant List (www.theplantlist.org). The voucher specimens were kept at the departmental herbarium of Government General Degree College, Keshiary. The specimens are listed in Table 1.

For future use matured leaves are preserved in FAA solution where the ratio of commercial formaldehyde: acetic acid: 70% alcohol is

5:5:90. Ten leaves of each species collected from five different plants were cleared following the techniques of Dilcher, 1974.

For studying theleaf vascularization, the entire lamina along with petiole was soaked overnight in 4% NaOHand transferred to chloral hydrate solution (Arnott, 1959) and finally stained with 1% aqueous safranin. After gradual dehydration, permanent slides were prepared and mounted in Canada balsam. For representation of the vein orders upto 3rd order, magnifications with 5x eye piece and 4x objective of the microscope has been used and for higher order venations a suitable median portion of the lamina, 1/3 from apex and 2/3 from base of the laminawere selected and the vascular pattern drawn from the 3<sup>rd</sup> order onwards along with epidermis and trichomes, under mirror type camera Lucida (Fig.1). For microscopic photographs Olympus CX21i microscope and camera UCMOS 10000 KPA of Topcam were used (Plate 1).

In the same way, marginal venation pattern and free vein endings have been sketched under higher magnification (10x eyepiece and 40x objective) of the microscope. For anatomical descriptions, work ofMetcafe and Chalk (1950) has been followed and for foliar venation patternpublications of the followingauthors were consulted[Hickey (1973, 1979) Melville (1976), Prabhakar and Ramayya (1982), Annamani and Prabhakar (1991a;b, 1993, 1994a;b), Ferzana*et al*. (1991)and Dilcher (1974)].

97

Table 1. List of Specimens Collected

S. No.	Name of Species	Localities	Field No.
1.Anaphalis margaritacea (L.) Benth & Hook. f.	Happy Valley Tea Estate, Darjeeling Dist. Rishav,	76	
	Benth.& Hook. f.	Juluk, East Sikkim	112 145
2.	Anaphalis triplinervis Sims	Tipindara, Rishav, Darjeeling District	116
	ex C. B. Clarke.	Juluk, East Sikkim	143

### **OBSERVATIONS**

The identity of the two species of *Anaphalis* viz. *A. margaritacea* and *A. triplinervis* has been established on the basis of leaf morphology (Table 2) and anatomy (Table 3). An artificial key to the species was alsodeveloped:

Table 2. Leaf Morphology of Anaphalis spp.
--

Name of the species Characters	A. margaritacea	A. triplinervis
Leaves	Leaves alternate, simple, narrowly linear or oblong, 1 - 3 cm long x 0.1- 0.5 cm wide, acute at apex, margin entire, auriculate at base, herbaceous, densely woolly on lower surface, less on upper side, sessile.	Leaves alternate, simple, lanceolate to elliptic-lanceolate,3-5 cm long x 1-1.5 cm wide; acute to acuminate at apex, margin entire, cuneate at base, leathery, usually 3- veined, woolly-white above and rusty-grey beneath, sessile.

#### DISCUSSION

Leaf anatomy according to Carlquist (1961) provides a variety of features that could be used for taxonomic purposes. This is evident from the leaf characters present in the two species of *Anaphalis*. Leaf morphology to leaf anatomy of both the species have lots of contrasting feature expressed in the observation table 2 and 3. The most eminent among them are the leaf shape, primary vein number, quaternary veins, areolar type, areolar density, veinlets and their association, epidermis and trichomes as mentioned inTable 2 and 3.Figure 1 and Pate 1 are also there to substantiate the observation. The most noticeable character among all, is the veinlets association with sclerotracheoids in *A*. *margaritacea*whereas in *A*. *triplinervis*,sclerenchymatous sheath cells covering each and every order of veins (Fig.-1C, C' and Plate 1 B, B').

#### CONCLUSION

It is proved that leaf venation pattern and leaf anatomical characters are stable in the studied species of *Anaphalis* Dc. From the present findings it may be concluded that leaf architecture characters are good taxonomic markers in plant identification and classification. However, it is also clear from the studies that these characters of leaves are potentially significant to delimit the taxa and

Table 3. Leaf anatomy of Anaphalis spp.

Name of the species	A. m argaritacea	A. triplinervis
Venation type	Perfect-acrodromous	Palmate-acrodromous
Primary vein (1°)	3, basal, moderate, middle onestraight, lateral 2 slightly curved converging at the apex, unbranched.	5, basal, perfect, moderate, middle onestraight, lateral four curved, unbranched.
Secondary veins (2°)	10-14 pairs as connecting to primary veins, curved, branched or unbranched.	14-17 pairs as connecting to primary veins, curved, branched or unbranched
Intramarginal veins	Present as extreme lateral, continued from base to apex, merging with the primary laterals near the apex	Present as extreme lateral, primary, continued from base to apex.
Tertiary veins (3°)	Connecting the secondaries, mostly composite	Connecting the secondaries, mostly unbranched.
Quaternary veins (4°)	R arely present	Thick, straight and relatively orthogonally oriented
Highest vein order (as free vein endings)	3°.	5°
Areoles	Areoles imperfect.	Areoles perfect
Areole shape	Mostly tetrangular rarely pentangular	Mostly tetrangular, rarely pentangular
Areoles/ sq. cm	1100	2678
Nature of free vein endings within the areole	Short in size, consisting of one spirally thickened tracheid of normal shape; the very tip normal and pointed or blunt due to coupling of very tip with sclerotracheoid	Short to medium in size, consisting of four to five spirally thickened tracheids of normal shape; the very tip normal and pointed, completely covered with sclerenchymatous sheath cells.
Associated features	The areoles in the margin linear- oblong, tetragonal, arranged according to long axis of leaves without free vein endings.	The areoles in the margin alike to the normal venation of laminar part
Upper epidermis	Consisting of compactly arranged thin walled corrugated to sinuate, elongated rhomboidal cells. Cell count ~ 710 per sq. mm	Consisting of compactly arranged thin walled ovoid cells. Cell count ~ 2253per sq. mm
Lower epidermis	Consisting of thin walled corrugated to undulated rectangular cells, associated with stomata. Cell count ~ 800 per sq. mm	Consisting of compactly arranged thin walled ovoid cells, associated with stomata. Cell count ~ 1972 per sq. mm
Stomata	Hypostomatic leaves with anomocytic stomata, stomatal frequency 160 per sq. mm	Hypostomatic leaves with anomocytic stomata, stomatal frequency 207 per sq. mm
Trichomes	Nonglandular flagellate trichome with one celled oval foot, 2-3 celled stalk whose basal cell is cylindrical and broader than median cell, head unicellular flagellate.	Nonglandular, flagellate trichome with one celled oval foot, 2-3 celled oblong cylindrical stack and unicellular long flagellate head.

on the basis of which identification keys are provided.

# Key to the studied species of *Anaphalis* based on leaf morphology

1b.Leaves lanceolate to elliptic lanceolate, 3-5 cm long x 1-1.5 cm wide, cuneate at base, leathery, rusty-grey beneath. ...A. *triplinervis*.

# Key to the studied species of *Anaphalis* based on leaf anatomy

1a. Primary veins 3, areoles quadrangular and pentagonal, arranged usually in the longitudinal

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Author is grateful to the Head, Department of Botany, University of Kalyani for the laboratory facility and express his indebtedness to the Professors of Taxonomy and systemetics Lab. for their help and encouragement.



Fig 1: Foliar venation and foliar anatomy of Anaphalis margaritacea and Anaphalis triplinervis: A-F: A. margaritacea: A`-F`: A. triplinervis;
A& A`. Leaf with major venations; B-Fand B`-F` are the camera lucida diagrams.
B & B`. Minor venations; C & C` Free vein endings; D & D`. Upper epidermis;
E & E`. Lower epidermis; F & F`. Foliar trichome;

(scl - Sclerotracheoids, ssc - Sclerenchymatous sheath cells.)



Plate 1: Venation, patterns and vein endings in the species studied:
A-B. Anaphalis margaritacea: A. Venation pattern; B. Vein endings
A`-B`. Anaphalis triplinervis : A`. Venation pattern; B`. Vein endings (scl - Sclerotracheoids, ssc - Sclerenchymatous sheath cells.)

## REFERENCES

- Akinnubi F.M., Akinloye A.J., Olaleye-Otunla O. and Adenegan-Alakinde T.A., 2014. Foliar anatomy of some species of Asteraceae in South western Nigeria; African J. Pl. Sci. 8(9): 426-440.
- 2. Annamani B. andPrabhakar M.,1991a.Foliar Aarchitecture of the Visakhapatnam Flora. 1. Ranales;Indian. J. Forester. 14:131-137.
- 3. Annamani B. andPrabhakar M.,1991b.Foliar Architecture of some Medicinal Plants (Celastrales);Asian J. Pl. Sci.3:17-21.
- Annamani B. andPrabhakar M.,1994a. Foliar Architecture of some Medicinal Plants (Myrtales). Bio. Sci. Res. Bull., 10: 9-16.
- 5. Annamani B. and Prabhakar M., 1994b. Foliar Architecture of some Medicinal Plants (Ficoidales). Chinese. J. Bot.,6: 19-22.
- 6. Annamani B. and Prabhakar M.,1997.Foliar Architecture of some Asterales; In: Frontiers in Plants Science. (Ed. Khan, I.A.), The Book Syndicate. 1037-1042.
- Annamani B., Prabhakar M. andFerzana J.,1993.Foliar Architecture of some Medicinal Plants (Verbenaceae); J. Res. Sci. Pl. andMed. 13:8-162.
- 8. Arnott H.J., 1959. Leaf clearing; Turtox News. 37: 192-194.

- Banerjee G., 1978.Foliar venation and leaf histology of certain members of Compositae II members with combination craspedodromous, Amberboa ramose Roxb. Wagnev (Syn: Voluntarella ramose Roxb.); J. Indian bot. Soc., 57: 338-342.
- 10. Banerjee G. and Deshpande B.D., 1973, Foliar venation and leaf histology of certain members of compositae; Flora. 162: 529-532.
- 11. Brummit R.K. and Powell C.E., 1992. Authors of plant names. A list of authors of scientific names of plants, with recommended standard forms of their names, including abbreviations. (Eds.). Kew.
- 12. Carlquist S.J., 1961.Comparative Plant anatomy; a guide to taxonomic and evolutionary application of anatomical data in angiosperms. Publisher Holt, Renahart & Wintson, New York.
- 13. Dilcher D. L., 1974. Approaches to the Identification of Angiosperm Leaf Remains; Bot. Rev. 40: 1-157.
- 14. Ettingshausen C.R. Von, 1861.Die blatt-skelete der dicotyledonenmit besonderer Ruchsicht auf die Untersuchung und Bestimmung der fossilen Pflanzenreste., Vienna.
- Ferzana J., Prabhakar M. andLeelavathi P.,1991. Foliar Architecture in relation to Taxonomy of Malvales; Asian Jour. Pl. Sci.3(2): 17-53.

- Hickey L.J., 1973.Classification of the Architecture of Dicotyledonous Leaves; Amer. J. Bot.60: 17-33.
- Hickey L.J., 1979. A revised classification of the leaf architecture in dicotyledonous leaves; In: Anatomy of the Dicotyledons Vol.I. 2nd edition (Ed. Metcalfe C.R.andChalk L.). Oxford Univ. Press. Oxford, 25-39.
- Jain D.K., 1978.Studies in Bignoniaceae III. Leaf Architecture; J. Indian Bot. Soc. 57: 369-386.
- 19. Maitra N.K. and Mukherjee S.K. 2017. Foliar architecture of Indian Spilanthes Jacq.: Members of the tribe Heliantheae of sub family Asteroideae; Global Journal for Research Analysis. 6(3):644-646.
- 20. Melville R., 1976. The terminology of leaf

architecture; Taxon. 25: 519-561.

- 21. Metcalfe C.R. and Chalk L.,1950. Anatomy of the Dicotyledons. Vols. I and II. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- 22. Prabhakar M. and Ramayya N.,1982. Foliar venation pattern and their taxonomic importance in Indian Portulacaceae; Geophytology. 112: 49-54.
- 23. Radford A.E., Dickison W.C., Massey J.R.and Bell CL, 1974.Vascular plant systematic; Harper & Row Publishers, New York.
- 24. Ravindranath K. and Inamdar J.A., 1982.Leaf architectural studies in the Asteraceae-I; Pak. J. Bot.14(2): 143-154.
- Sehgal L. and Paliwal G.S., 1974.Studies of the leaf anatomy of Euphorbia II. Venation Patterns; Bot. J. Linn. Soc., 68: 173-208.